Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

Water Quality

(possible concerns)

Salt/oil based mud: Intermediate casing string hole will be drilled with oil based invert mud system and openhole horizontal production hole will be drilled with fresh water polymer drilling fluids. Surface casing hole will be drilled with a freshwater and freshwater mud system.

High water table: No high water table anticipated.

Surface drainage leads to live water: No, live water nearby.

Water well contamination: None, closest water wells in the area are about 3/8 of a mile to the northwest, about 3/8 of a mile to the northeast, about 5/8 of a mile to the northwest, about 3/4 of a mile to the east, about 7/8 of a mile to the northwest and about 7/8 of a mile to the northeast, from this location. Depth of these domestic and stockwater wells are range from 95' to 215'. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater drilling muds. The surface casing setting depth. of 1250' should be below all freshwater zones.

Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy clay soils.

Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages.

Mitigation:

- __ Lined reserve pit
- X Adequate surface casing
- __ Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
- _X Closed mud system
- \underline{X} Off-site disposal of **solids/liquids** (in approved facility)
- X Other: Freshwater drilling fluids will be land applied with surface owner approval.

Freshwater cuttings will be buried on site. Oil based cuttings will be truck to authorized disposal site.

Comments: 1250' surface casing well below freshwater zones in adjacent water wells. Also, covering Fox Hills aquifer. Adequate surface casing and operational BOP equipment will prevent

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)

Steam crossings: None anticipated.

High erosion potential: No high erosion potential, small cut, up to 9.8' and small fill, up to 5.3', required. Loss of soil productivity: None, location to be restored after drilling well, if nonproductive. If productive unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.

Unusually large wellsite: No, large well site 500'X450'

Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is a cultivated field.

Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight

3	. •	. •
WI11	1102	ıtion
1111		ttiOil

Avoid	improvements	(topographic	tolerance)

__ Exception location requested

X Stockpile topsoil

__ Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)

X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive

__ Special construction methods to enhance reclamation

__ Other _____

Comments: Access will use existing county road, Ueland Road and existing lease road. A short road of about 15' will be built off the existing lease road into this location. Surface hole (freshwater) cuttings will be mixed buried on site. Oil based invert mud cuttings will be trucked to an approved waste disposal facility. Oil based drilling fluids will be recycled to the next location or returned to the mud company's recycling facility. Freshwater surface fluids and horizontal freshwater polymer fluids will be land applied. Freshwater surface cuttings will be buried on the wellsite. No concerns.

Health Hazards/Noise

(possible concerns)

Proximity to public facilities/residences: <u>Nearest residences are 5/8 of a mile to the southeast and 1.25</u> miles to the east from this location.

Possibility of H2S: Yes, slight from Mississippian Formations.

Size of rig/length of drilling time: Heavy double drilling rig 20 to 30 days drilling time.

Mitigation:

- X Proper BOP equipment
- __ Topographic sound barriers
- __ H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan
- __ Special equipment/procedures requirements

Other:

Comments: <u>Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with working BOP stack should mitigate any problems.</u> Residences are far enough away for noise not to be a problem.

Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)

Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.

Proximity to recreation sites: <u>None identified.</u> Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: <u>No</u>

Conflict with game range/refuge management: No

Threatened or endangered Species: Only species identified as threatened or endangered are the Whooping Crane and Piping Plover. Candidate species is the Sprague's Pipit. NH Tracker site indicates fifteen (15) species of concern: Baird's Sparrow, Le Conte's Sparrow, Nelson's Sparrow, Sprague's Pipit, Ferruginous Hawk, Chestnut-collared Longspur, Piping Plover, Black Tern, Sedge Wren, Yellow Rail, Bobolink,

Evening Grosbeck Whooping Crane, McCown's Longspur and Smooth Greensnake. Mitigation: __ Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception) __ Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL) __ Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There may be species of concern that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to what he would like done, if a species of concern are discovered at this location. Historical/Cultural/Paleontological (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified. Mitigation __ avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) __ other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) __ Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Social/Economic (possible concerns) __ Substantial effect on tax base __ Create demand for new governmental services __ Population increase or relocation Comments: No concerns. Wildcat Bakken Formation well within an existing oil field, Flat Lake Field. Remarks or Special Concerns for this site Wildcat Bakken formation single lateral horizontal well, 12,295'MD/7788'TVD, within an existing oil field, Flat Lake Field ___

Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects

No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur, but can be mitigated in a short time.

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does **not**) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki
(title:) Chief Field Inspector
Date: <u>June 11, 2012</u>
Other Persons Contacted:
Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Groundwater Information Center website.
(Name and Agency)
Sheridan County water wells
(subject discussed)
<u>June 11, 2012</u>
(date)
US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website
(Name and Agency)
ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA
COUNTIES, Sheridan County
(subject discussed)
(Subject discussed)
June 11, 2012
(date)
Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP)
(Name and Agency)
Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T37N R57E
(subject discussed)
<u>June 11, 2012</u>
(date)
If location was inspected before permit approval:
Inspection date:
Inspector:
Others present during inspection:
r